Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/594,825	WALLACE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JEANINE A. GOLDBERG	1634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>After Restriction</u>		
(1) <u>JEANINE A. GOLDBERG</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Robert Buyan</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 August 2010</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  Claim 6  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner telephoned applicant requesting clarification regarding Claim 6 and the election of T4141G. The specification and the art are directed to a mutation at position T414G rather than T4141G. Applicant confirmed this was a typographical error and requested that the examiner change the claim to read T414G by amendment. In order to facilitate compact prosecution, the claims have been examine red in light of the election of T414G. Applicant agreed to amend the claims in response to the office action to T414G.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
ala necresale in resolution of all issues. A shell summary by the scanning appears in rail in above.		
/Jeanine A Goldberg/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)